arXiv:1512.08976v1 [math.FA] 5 Dec 2015

# Synaptic Algebras

David J. Foulis*

Dedicated to Dr. Sylvia Pulmannová on the occasion of her 70th birthday.

**Abstract**

A synaptic algebra is both a special Jordan algebra and a spectral order-unit normed space satisfying certain natural conditions suggested by the partially ordered Jordan algebra of bounded Hermitian operators on a Hilbert space. The adjective "synaptic," borrowed from biology, is meant to suggest that such an algebra coherently "ties together" the notions of a Jordan algebra, a spectral order-unit normed space, a convex effect algebra, and an orthomodular lattice. Prototypic examples of synaptic algebras are the special Jordan algebra of all self-adjoint elements in a von Neumann algebra, the self-adjoint elements in a Rickart C*-algebra, the self-adjoint elements in an AW*-algebra, D. Topping's JW- and AJW-algebras, and the generalized Hermitian (GH-) algebras introduced and studied by the author and S. Pulmannová. All the foregoing examples are norm complete, but synaptic algebras are more general, and even a commutative synaptic algebra need not be norm complete.

**Key Words and Phrases:** order-unit norm, Jordan algebra, square root, positive and negative parts, absolute value, polar decomposition, convex effect algebra, projection, orthomodular lattice, carrier, simple element, inverses, regular element, spectral resolution, spectrum.

*Emeritus Professor of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Postal Address: 1 Sutton Court, Amherst, MA 01002, USA; foulis@math.umass.edu.

# 1 Introduction

Our purpose in this article is introduce and study a class of partially ordered algebraic structures, which we call *synaptic algebras*, that are simultaneously spectral order-unit normed spaces [8] and special Jordan algebras [16], and that also incorporate convex effect algebras [12] and orthomodular lattices [3, 14]. We have borrowed from biology the adjective 'synaptic,' which is derived from the Greek word '*sunaptein*,' meaning *to join together*. A synaptic algebra (Definition 1.1 below) is required to satisfy certain natural conditions suggested by an important spacial case, namely the partially ordered Jordan algebra of bounded Hermitian operators on a Hilbert space.

The generalized Hermitian (GH) algebras introduced and studied by Sylvia Pulmannová and the author in [9, 10] are synaptic algebras that satisfy a rather strong additional condition on bounded ascending sequences of pairwise commuting elements. In a synaptic algebra, this condition is replaced by some of its important algebraic consequences together with a much weaker condition on ascending sequences—see Section 6 below for the details. Example 1.2 below exhibits a commutative synaptic algebra which, in general, fails to be a GH-algebra, showing that synaptic algebras are proper generalizations of GH-algebras.

Among other properties of a synaptic algebra $A$, we show that the set of projections $P$ in $A$ is the extreme boundary of the convex set $E$ of effects in $A$ (Theorem 2.6), $P$ is an orthomodular lattice (Theorem 5.6), an element in $A$ is (von Neumann) regular iff both its positive and negative parts are regular (Theorem 7.6), each element in $A$ determines and is determined by a spectral resolution in $P$ (Theorems 8.4 and 8.5), and each element in $A$ is a norm limit of an ascending sequence of simple elements (Corollary 8.6).

In the sequel, we use the symbols $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ for the ordered field of real numbers and the set of positive integers, respectively. Also, we use 'iff' as an abbreviation for 'if and only if,' and the symbol ':=' means 'equals by definition.' To help fix ideas in the following definition, the reader can keep in mind the special case in which $R$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space, and $A$ is the real vector space of all self-adjoint operators in $R$.

**1.1 Definition.** Let $R$ be a linear associative algebra with unity element 1 over $\mathbb{R}$ and let $A$ be a (real) vector subspace of $R$. If $a, b \in A$ and $B \subseteq A$,

we write $aCb$ iff $a$ and $b$ commute (i.e. $ab = ba$)[1] and we define

$$C(a) := \{b \in A : aCb\},\ C(B) := \bigcap_{b \in B} C(b),\ \text{and}\ CC(a) := C(C(a)).$$

The vector space $A$ is a *synaptic algebra* with *enveloping algebra* $R$ iff the following conditions are satisfied:

SA1. $A$ is a partially ordered archimedean real vector space with positive cone $A^+ = \{a \in A : 0 \leq a\}$, $1 \in A^+$ is an order unit in $A$, and $\|\cdot\|$ is the corresponding order-unit norm.[2]

SA2. If $a, b \in A^+$, then $aCb \Rightarrow ab \in A^+$.

SA3. If $a \in A$ then $a^2 \in A^+$.

SA4. If $a, b \in A^+$, then $aba \in A^+$.

SA5. If $a \in A$ and $b \in A^+$, then $aba = 0 \Rightarrow ab = ba = 0$.

SA6. If $a \in A^+$, there exists $b \in A^+ \cap CC(a)$ such that $b^2 = a$.

SA7. If $a \in A$, there exists $p \in A$ such that $p = p^2$ and, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow pb = 0$.

SA8. If $1 \leq a \in A$, there exists $b \in A$ such that $ab = ba = 1$.

SA9. If $a, b \in A$, $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \cdots$ is an ascending sequence of pairwise commuting elements of $C(b)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|a - a_n\| = 0$, then $a \in C(b)$.

We define $P := \{p \in A : p = p^2\}$. Elements $p \in P$ are called *projections*. We define the *unit interval* $E$ in $A$ by $E := \{e \in A : 0 \leq e \leq 1\}$. Elements $e \in E$ are called *effects*.[3]

If $R$ is a von Neumann algebra, then the real vector space $A$ of all self-adjoint elements in $R$ is a synaptic algebra. More generally, the self-adjoint elements in a Rickart C*-algebra [13, §3], and in particular in an AW*-algebra [15], form a synaptic algebra. Additional examples of synaptic algebras are: JW-algebras [18], AJW-algebras [18, § 20], JB-algebras [2], and the ordered

[1] We understand that a product of elements of $A$ is the product as calculated in $R$.
[2] See Definition 1.4 below.
[3] Actually, $E$ is a so-called *convex effect algebra* [12].

special Jordan algebras studied by Sarymsakov, *et al.* [17]. All the foregoing examples are norm complete, but the commutative synaptic algebra in the following example need not be norm complete.

**1.2 Example.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a field of subsets of a nonempty set $X$, let $A$ be the commutative and associative real linear algebra, with pointwise operations, of all functions $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that* (i) $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}$ *and* (ii) $\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ *is finite. Then, with the pointwise partial order, $A$ is a synaptic algebra with $A$ as its own enveloping algebra. The projections in $A$ are the characteristic set functions* (*indicator functions*) *of sets in $\mathcal{F}$.*

**1.3 Standing Assumptions.** *In the sequel, we assume that $A$ is a synaptic algebra with enveloping algebra*[4] *$R$, that $E$ is the set of effects in $A$, and that $P$ is the set of projections in $A$. We understand that both $E$ and $P$ are partially ordered by the restrictions of the partial order $\leq$ on $A$. To avoid triviality, we assume that $1 \neq 0$. As is customary, we shall identify each real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with the element $\lambda 1 \in A$, so that $\mathbb{R}$ is a one-dimensional linear subspace of $A$. If $n$ is one of $1, 2, ..., 9$, then* [SA$n$] *will always refer to the corresponding condition in Definition* 1.1.

By [SA1], $A$ is an *order-unit normed space* according to the following definition (adapted to our present notation).

**1.4 Definition.** An *order-unit normed space* [1, pp. 67–69] is a partially ordered real vector space $A$ with a distinguished element $1 \in A$, called the *unit*, such that:

(i) $A$ is *archimedean*, i.e., if $a, b \in A$ and $na \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $-a \in A^+$.

(ii) $0 < 1$ and 1 is an *order unit*[5] in $A$, i.e., for every $a \in A$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \leq n$.[6]

The *order-unit norm* $\|\cdot\|$ on $A$ is defined by

(iii) $\|a\| := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda\}$.

[4] We shall not be concerned with the detailed structure of the enveloping algebra $R$—we regard $R$ merely as an arena in which to study $A$, $E$, and $P$.

[5] Some authors use the terminology "strong order unit."

[6] Recall that we are identifying $n \in \mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $n1$.

The order-unit norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a *bona fide* norm on $A$, and it is related to the partial-order structure of $A$ by the following properties[7], which we shall use routinely in the sequel: For all $a, b \in A$,

$$-\|a\| \leq a \leq \|a\|, \text{ and if } -b \leq a \leq b, \text{ then } \|a\| \leq \|b\|.$$

*If $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $A$ and $a \in A$, the notation $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$, or simply $a_n \to a$, will mean that $a$ is the limit of $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the norm topology, i.e., that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|a - a_n\| = 0$.*

By [SA3], $a \in A \Rightarrow a^2 \in A$, hence $A$ is organized into a special Jordan algebra [16] under the Jordan product

$$a \circ b := \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba) = \frac{1}{2}[(a+b)^2 - a^2 - b^2] \in A \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Clearly, $1 \circ a = a \circ 1 = a$, i.e., $A$ is a *unital* Jordan algebra.

**1.5 Remarks.** Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then $aCb \Rightarrow ab = ba = a \circ b \in A$. As $a^2 \in A$ and $aCa^2$, it follows that $a^3 = a \circ a^2 \in A$, and by induction, $a^n \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $A$ is closed under the formation of real polynomials in $a$. Let $c := 2(a \circ b)$. Then $aba = a \circ c - a^2 \circ b \in A$, hence $aba \in A$. Thus, $acb + bca = (a+b)c(a+b) - aca - bcb \in A$.

**1.6 Lemma.** *Let $a, b \in A$ and $0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:* (i) $-\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda \Leftrightarrow a^2 \leq \lambda^2$. (ii) $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$. (iii) $0 \leq a, b \Rightarrow \|a - b\| \leq \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$. (iv) $\|a \circ b\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$. (v) *If $aCb$, then $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$.*

*Proof.* If $-\lambda \leq a \leq \lambda$, then $0 \leq \lambda - a, \lambda + a$, and as $(\lambda - a)C(\lambda + a)$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq (\lambda - a)(\lambda + a) = \lambda^2 - a^2$. Conversely, suppose that $a^2 \leq \lambda^2$. Then $0 \leq (\lambda - a)^2$ by [SA3], whence $0 \leq (\lambda^2 - a^2) + (\lambda - a)^2 = 2(\lambda^2 - \lambda a)$ and since $0 < \lambda$, it follows that $a \leq \lambda$. As $a^2 \leq \lambda^2$, we also have $(-a)^2 \leq \lambda^2$, whence $-a \leq \lambda$, i.e., $-\lambda \leq a$, proving (i). Part (ii) follows from (i). To prove (iii), we can assume that $\|a\| \leq \|b\|$. As $0 \leq b$, we have $a \leq \|a\| \leq \|b\| \leq \|b\| + b$, whence $a - b \leq \|b\|$. Also, as $0 \leq a$, we have $b \leq \|b\| \leq \|b\| + a$, whence $b - a \leq \|b\|$, and therefore $-\|b\| \leq a - b \leq \|b\|$. Consequently, $\|a - b\| \leq \|b\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$. To prove (iv), it will be sufficient by normalization to prove that $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|a \circ b\| \leq 1$.

[7] See [1, Proposition II.1.2] and [11, Proposition 7.12 (c)]

Thus, we assume $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1$, so that $\|a \pm b\| \leq 2$, and therefore by (ii), $\|(a \pm b)^2\| \leq 4$. Consequently, by (iii),

$$\|a \circ b\| = \frac{1}{4}\|(a+b)^2 - (a-b)^2\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\max\{\|(a+b)^2\|, \|(a-b)^2\|\} \leq 1.$$

If $aCb$, then $ab = a \circ b$, so (v) follows immediately from (iv). □

# 2 Square Roots, Projections, and Carriers

**2.1 Remarks.** Let $a, b \in A$. Then: (i) By [SA5] with $b = 1$, we have $a^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$. (ii) If $0 \leq a, b$ and $a + b = 0$, then $0 \leq a = -b \leq 0$, whence $a = b = 0$.

**2.2 Theorem.** *Let $0 \leq a \in A$. Then there exists a unique $r \in A$ such that $0 \leq r$ and $r^2 = a$; moreover, $r \in CC(a)$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $0 \leq a \in A$. By [SA6], there exists $b \in CC(a)$ such that $0 \leq b$ and $b^2 = a$. As $a \in C(a)$, we have $aCb$. Suppose also that $r \in A$ with $0 \leq r$, $r^2 = a$. Obviously, $rCa$, whence $bCr$. It will be sufficient to prove that $r = b$.

By [SA6], there exists $s \in CC(b)$ such that $0 \leq s$ and $s^2 = b$. As $b, r \in C(b)$, we have $sCb$ and $sCr$. By [SA6] again, there exists $t \in CC(r)$ such that $0 \leq t$ and $t^2 = r$. As $b, r \in C(r)$, we have $tCb$ and $tCr$.

Since $sCb$ and $sCr$, it follows that $sC(b-r)$, hence $s(b-r) = s \circ (b-r) \in A$. Likewise, since $tCb$ and $tCr$, we have $t(b-r) \in A$. Moreover, as $b^2 = r^2 = a$, it follows that

$$(s(b-r))^2 + (t(b-r))^2 = (s^2+t^2)(b-r)^2 = (b+r)(b-r)^2 = (b^2-r^2)(b-r) = 0.$$

But $0 \leq (s(b-r))^2$ and $0 \leq (t(b-r))^2$ by [SA3], whence $(s(b-r))^2 = (t(b-r))^2 = 0$, so $s(b-r) = t(b-r) = 0$ by Remarks 2.1.

As $s(b-r) = 0$, it follows that $b(b-r) = s^2(b-r) = 0$. Likewise, $r(b-r) = t^2(b-r) = 0$, whence $(b-r)^2 = b(b-r) - r(b-r) = 0$, and by Remarks 2.1 (i), $r = b$. □

If $0 \leq a \in A$, then of course, the unique element $r$ in Theorem 2.2 is called the *square root* of $a$, and in what follows *we denote it in the usual way as* $a^{1/2}$.

**2.3 Remarks.** Let $p \in P$. Then, as $p = p^2$, [SA3] implies that $0 \leq p$. Also, $(1-p)^2 = 1 - 2p + p^2 = 1 - p$, so $1 - p \in P$, and therefore $0 \leq 1 - p$, i.e., $p \leq 1$. Consequently, $0 \leq p \leq 1$, and it follows that $P \subseteq E$.

**2.4 Theorem.** *Let $e \in E$ and $p \in P$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:* (i) $e \leq p$. (ii) $e = ep = pe$. (iii) $e = pep$. (iv) $e = ep$. (v) $e = pe$.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that $e \leq p$ and let $d := p - e$. Then $0 \leq e, d, 1 - p$, $e + d = p$, and

$$(1-p)e(1-p) + (1-p)d(1-p) = (1-p)p(1-p) = 0.$$

By [SA4], $0 \leq (1-p)e(1-p)$, $(1-p)d(1-p)$, and it follows from Remarks 2.1 (ii) that $(1-p)e(1-p) = (1-p)d(1-p) = 0$. Therefore, by [SA5], $(1-p)e = e(1-p) = 0$, i.e., $e = pe = ep$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Follows from $p = p^2$.

(iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v). By [SA5], $e = ep \Rightarrow e(1-p) = 0 \Rightarrow (1-p)e(1-p) = 0 \Rightarrow (1-p)e = 0 \Rightarrow e = pe$, and the converse implication follows by symmetry.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume (v). Since (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v), we have $pe = ep = e$, so $(1-e)p = p(1-e) = p - e$, whence $0 \leq p - e$ by [SA2], and therefore $e \leq p$. □

**2.5 Lemma.** *Let $e \in E$. Then:* (i) $e^2 \in E$ *with* $0 \leq e^2 \leq e$. (ii) $2e - e^2 \in E$. (iii) $e - e^2 \in E$ *with* $e - e^2 \leq e$, $1 - e$.

*Proof.* By [SA3], $0 \leq e^2$, and as $eC(1-e)$ with $0 \leq e, 1-e$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq e(1-e)$, whence $0 \leq e^2 \leq e \leq 1$, proving (i). Also, $0 \leq (1-e)^2 = 1 - 2e + e^2$, so by (i), $0 \leq e + (e - e^2) = 2e - e^2 \leq 1$, proving (ii). Part (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). □

Obviously, $E$ is a convex set, and by Remarks 2.3, $P \subseteq E$. The following theorem characterizes, in various ways, those effects $p \in E$ that are projections.

**2.6 Theorem.** *If $p \in E$, then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

(i) $p \in P$.

(ii) *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, and $e \in E$, then $\lambda e \leq p \Leftrightarrow e \leq p$.*

(iii) *$p$ is an extreme point of the convex set $E$.*

(iv) *If $e, f, e+f \in E$, then $e, f \leq p \Rightarrow e+f \leq p$.*

(v) *If $e \in E$ and $e \leq p, 1-p$, then $e = 0$.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose $p \in P$, $e \in E$, and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then $0 \leq \lambda e \leq e \leq 1$, so $\lambda e \in E$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, $\lambda e \leq p \Leftrightarrow \lambda ep = \lambda e \Leftrightarrow ep = e \Leftrightarrow e \leq p$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Assume (ii) and suppose that $p = \lambda e + (1-\lambda)f$ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $e, f \in E$. Then $\lambda e \leq p$, whence $e \leq p = \lambda e + (1-\lambda)f$, therefore $(1-\lambda)e \leq (1-\lambda)f$, and it follows that $e \leq f$. Similarly, $f \leq e$, so $e = f = p$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume (iii). By parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.5, $p^2$, $2p-p^2 \in E$, and since $p = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2p - p^2)$, (iii) implies that $p = p^2 = 2p - p^2$, whence $p \in P$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Assume that $p \in P$, $e, f, e+f \in E$, and $e, f \leq p$. Then by Theorem 2.4, $e = pep$ and $f = pfp$. As $e + f \in E$, we have $0 \leq 1 - (e+f)$, whence by [SA4], $0 \leq p(1-e-f)p$, i.e., $e + f = pep + pfp \leq p^2 = p$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) Assume (iv) and suppose that $e \in E$ with $e \leq p, 1-p$. Then $e, p \in E$, $0 \leq e+p \leq 1$, and $e, p \leq p$, whence $e+p \leq p$ by (iv), and therefore $e \leq 0$. But $0 \leq e$, so $e = 0$.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume (v). By Lemma 2.5 (iii), $0 \leq p - p^2 \leq p, 1-p$, whence $p = p^2$ by (v). □

**2.7 Theorem.** *Let $a \in A$. Then there exists a unique projection $p \in P$ such that, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow pb = 0$.*

*Proof.* By [SA7], there exists $p \in P$ such that, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow pb = 0$. Suppose $q \in P$ and, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow qb = 0$. Putting $b = 1 - p$, we find that $a(1-p) = 0$, whence $q(1-p) = 0$, i.e., $q = qp$, and therefore $q \leq p$ by Theorem 2.4. By symmetry, $p \leq q$, so $p = q$, proving the uniqueness of $p$. □

**2.8 Definition.** If $a \in A$, then the unique projection $p$ in Theorem 2.7 is called the *carrier projection* of (or for) $a$ and is denoted by $a^o$. Thus, $a^o \in P$ and, for all $b \in A$, $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^o b = 0$.

**2.9 Lemma.** *Let $a, b \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then:* (i) $pb = 0 \Leftrightarrow bp = 0$. (ii) $pa = a \Leftrightarrow ap = a$. (iii) $aa^o = a^o a = a$. (iv) $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow ba = 0$.

*Proof.* By [SA5] and the fact that $0 \leq p$, we have $pb = 0 \Rightarrow bpb = 0 \Rightarrow bp = 0$, whence $pb = 0 \Rightarrow bp = 0$. A similar argument yields the converse, proving (i). By (i), $pa = a \Leftrightarrow (1-p)a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a(1-p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow ap = a$, proving (ii). As $a^{\mathrm{o}} \in P$, we have $a^{\mathrm{o}}(1-a^{\mathrm{o}}) = 0$, so $a(1-a^{\mathrm{o}}) = 0$, i.e., $aa^{\mathrm{o}} = a$, whence $a^{\mathrm{o}}a = a$ by (ii), proving (iii). To prove (iv), assume that $ab = 0$. Then $a^{\mathrm{o}}b = 0$, so $ba^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$ by (i). Also, $a = a^{\mathrm{o}}a$ by (iii), whereupon $ba = ba^{\mathrm{o}}a = 0$. Thus, $ab = 0 \Rightarrow ba = 0$, and the converse follows by symmetry. □

**2.10 Theorem.** *Let $a, b \in A$. Then:* (i) $a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$. (ii) $a \in P \Leftrightarrow a = a^{\mathrm{o}}$. (iii) $a^{\mathrm{o}}$ *is the smallest projection $p \in P$ such that $a = ap$.* (iv) *If $e \in E$, then $e^{\mathrm{o}}$ is the smallest projection $p \in P$ such that $e \leq p$.* (v) $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab^{\mathrm{o}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}}b^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$. (vi) $a^{\mathrm{o}} \in CC(a)$. (vii) *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then* $(a^n)^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}$. (viii) *If $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq b^{\mathrm{o}}$.*

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are obvious from the definition of $a^{\mathrm{o}}$.

(iii) We have $aa^{\mathrm{o}} = a$ by Lemma 2.9 (iii). Suppose that $p \in P$ and $a = ap$. Then $a(1-p) = 0$, whence $a^{\mathrm{o}}(1-p) = 0$, so $a^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}p$, and therefore $a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq p$ by Theorem 2.4.

(iv) Part (iv) is a consequence of (iii) and Theorem 2.4.

(v) By Lemma 2.9 (iv),

$$ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow ba = 0 \Leftrightarrow b^{\mathrm{o}}a = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab^{\mathrm{o}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}}b^{\mathrm{o}} = 0.$$

(vi) Suppose that $c \in C(a)$ and let $d := (1-a^{\mathrm{o}})ca^{\mathrm{o}} + a^{\mathrm{o}}c(1-a^{\mathrm{o}})$. Thus, $d \in A$ (see Remarks 1.5), and as $aa^{\mathrm{o}} = a$, we have

$$ad = a(1-a^{\mathrm{o}})ca^{\mathrm{o}} + aa^{\mathrm{o}}c(1-a^{\mathrm{o}}) = 0 + ac(1-a^{\mathrm{o}}) = ca(1-a^{\mathrm{o}}) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$0 = a^{\mathrm{o}}d = 0 + a^{\mathrm{o}}c(1-a^{\mathrm{o}}) = a^{\mathrm{o}}c - a^{\mathrm{o}}ca^{\mathrm{o}}, \text{ i.e., } a^{\mathrm{o}}c = a^{\mathrm{o}}ca^{\mathrm{o}}.$$

Also, as $a^{\mathrm{o}}d = 0$, Lemma 2.9 implies that $0 = da^{\mathrm{o}} = (1-a^{\mathrm{o}})ca^{\mathrm{o}}$, i.e., $ca^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}ca^{\mathrm{o}}$. Therefore $ca^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}ca^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}c$, so $c \in C(a^{\mathrm{o}})$.

(vii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $aa^{\mathrm{o}} = a$, we have $a^n a^{\mathrm{o}} = a^n$, whence $(a^n)^{\mathrm{o}} \leq a^{\mathrm{o}}$ by (iii). We have to prove that $a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq (a^n)^{\mathrm{o}}$. Put $q := 1 - (a^n)^{\mathrm{o}}$. By (vi), $C(a^n) \subseteq C((a^n)^{\mathrm{o}})$, whence $aCq$. Evidently, $a^n q = 0$, so there is a smallest positive integer $k$ such that $a^k q = 0$. If $k$ is even, then $a^{k/2}qa^{k/2} = 0$, so $a^{k/2}q = 0$ by [SA5], contradicting the minimality of $k$. Therefore, $k$ is odd

and $a^{k+1}q = 0$, whence $a^{(k+1)/2}qa^{(k+1)/2} = 0$, so $a^{(k+1)/2}q = 0$ by [SA5] again, whereupon $k \leq (k+1)/2$, i.e., $k = 1$. Therefore, $aq = 0$, whence $a = a(a^n)^{\mathrm{o}}$, and again by (iii), $a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq (a^n)^{\mathrm{o}}$.

(viii) Suppose that $0 \leq a \leq b$. The case $b = 0$ is trivial, so we assume that $b \neq 0$. Let $\lambda := \|b\|^{-1}$, $e := \lambda a$, and $f := \lambda b$. Clearly, $e, f \in E$, $e \leq f$, $e^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}$, and $f^{\mathrm{o}} = b^{\mathrm{o}}$. By (iv), $e \leq f \leq f^{\mathrm{o}} \in P$, whence $e^{\mathrm{o}} \leq f^{\mathrm{o}}$, i.e., $a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq b^{\mathrm{o}}$. □

# 3 Absolute Value and Polar Decomposition

If $a \in A$, then by [SA3], $0 \leq a^2$, so we can formulate the following definition.

**3.1 Definition.** If $a \in A$, then the *absolute value* of $a$ is defined and denoted by $|a| := (a^2)^{1/2}$. Also we define $a^+ := \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a)$ and $a^- := \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a)$.

**3.2 Remarks.** Let $a \in A$. Obviously, $0 \leq |a| = |-a|$ and $|a|^2 = a^2$. Also, $C(a) \subseteq C(a^2) \subseteq C(|a|)$, and therefore $|a|, a^+, a^- \in CC(a)$. Moreover, $a = a^+ - a^-$, $|a| = a^+ + a^-$, $a^+a^- = a^-a^+ = 0$, and $a^- = (-a)^+$.

**3.3 Theorem.** *Let $a \in G$, $p := (a^+)^{\mathrm{o}}$, and $q := (a^-)^{\mathrm{o}}$. Then:*

(i) $p, q \in CC(a)$ (ii) $pC|a|$ *and* $qC|a|$
(iii) $pa = ap = a^+$. (iv) $qa = aq = -a^-$.
(v) $0 \leq p|a| = |a|p = a^+$. (vi) $0 \leq q|a| = |a|q = a^-$.
(vii) $pq = qp = 0$. (viii) $p + q = a^{\mathrm{o}}$.

*Proof.* (i) As $C(a) \subseteq C(a^+)$ and $C(a^+) \subseteq C((a^+)^{\mathrm{o}})$, we have $C(a) \subseteq C(p)$. Likewise, as $a^- = (-a)^+$ and $C(a) = C(-a)$, we have $C(a) \subseteq C(q)$.

(ii) As $|a| \in C(a)$, (ii) follows from (i).

(iii) By (i), $pa = ap$. Also, $a^+ = (a^+)^{\mathrm{o}}a^+ = pa^+$, and since $a^+a^- = 0$, it follows that $pa^- = 0$, whence $pa = p(a^+ - a^-) = a^+$.

(iv) By (iii), $-qa = q(-a) = (-a)^+ = a^-$.

(v) By (ii), $pC|a|$, and as in the proof of (iii), $p|a| = p(a^+ + a^-) = a^+$. As $0 \leq p$ and $0 \leq |a|$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq p|a|$.

(vi) The proof of (vi) is similar to the proof of (v).

(vii) As $a^+a^- = 0$, we have $pa^- = 0$, whence $pq = 0$.

(viii) By (vii), $(p+q)^2 = p^2 + q^2 = p + q$, so $p + q \in P$. By (iii) and (iv), $a(p+q) = a^+ - a^- = a$, whence $a^{\mathrm{o}} \leq p + q$ by Theorem 2.10 (iii).

Let $r := 1 - a^{\mathrm{o}}$. Then $r \in P$ and $0 = ar = a^+r - a^-r$, i.e., $a^+r = a^-r$. Consequently, $a^+r = pa^+r = pa^-r = pqa^-r = 0$ by (vii), and it follows that $pr = 0$. Likewise, $qr = 0$, so $(p+q)r = 0$, and therefore $(p+q)a^{\mathrm{o}} = (p+q)(1-r) = p+q$, i.e., $p+q \leq a^{\mathrm{o}}$ by Theorem 2.4; hence $p+q = a^{\mathrm{o}}$. □

**3.4 Corollary.** *If $0 \leq a, b \in A$ and $aCb$, then $a^2 \leq b^2 \Leftrightarrow a \leq b$.*

*Proof.* Assume the hypotheses and suppose that $a^2 \leq b^2$. As $0 \leq (b-a)^2$, we have

$$0 \leq (b-a)^2 + b^2 - a^2 = 2(b^2 - ab), \text{ whence } 0 \leq (b-a)b. \tag{1}$$

Also, by parts (vii), (viii), and (iii) of Theorem 2.10,

$$a^{\mathrm{o}} = (a^2)^{\mathrm{o}} \leq (b^2)^{\mathrm{o}} = b^{\mathrm{o}}, \text{ whence } ab^{\mathrm{o}} = a. \tag{2}$$

Let $c := (b-a)^+$ and $d := (b-a)^-$. Then by Remarks 3.2 and parts (v) and (vi) of Theorem 3.3, $b \in C(b-a) \subseteq C(c) \cap C(d)$, and we have

$$bCc,\ bCd,\ cCd,\ 0 \leq c,\ 0 \leq d,\ dc = 0, \text{ and } b - a = c - d. \tag{3}$$

By (1) and (3),

$$0 \leq (b-a)b = (c-d)b = cb - db. \tag{4}$$

Since $dC(cb - db)$ and $0 \leq d$, it follows from (4), (3), and [SA2] that $0 \leq d(cb - db) = -d^2b$, i.e., $d^2b \leq 0$. Likewise, as $0 \leq d^2$, $0 \leq b$, and $bCd^2$, we also have $0 \leq d^2b$; hence $d^2b = 0$, and consequently

$$d^{\mathrm{o}}b = (d^2)^{\mathrm{o}}b = 0, \text{ so } db = 0, \text{ whence } db^{\mathrm{o}} = 0. \tag{5}$$

As $c \in C(b) \subseteq C(b^{\mathrm{o}})$, $0 \leq c$, and $0 \leq b^{\mathrm{o}}$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq cb^{\mathrm{o}}$, whence by (5), (3), and (2),

$$0 \leq cb^{\mathrm{o}} = (c-d)b^{\mathrm{o}} = (b-a)b^{\mathrm{o}} = bb^{\mathrm{o}} - ab^{\mathrm{o}} = b - a.$$

Conversely, suppose that $a \leq b$, i.e., $0 \leq b - a$. As $aCb$, we have $aC(b-a)$, and it follows from [SA2] that $0 \leq a(b-a) = ab - a^2$, i.e., $a^2 \leq ab$. Similarly, $0 \leq (b-a)b = b^2 - ab$, whence $ab \leq b^2$, and it follows that $a^2 \leq b^2$. □

**3.5 Definition.** If $a \in A$, then the *signum* of $a$ is defined and denoted by $\operatorname{sgn}(a) := (a^+)^{\mathrm{o}} - (a^-)^{\mathrm{o}}$.

**3.6 Theorem.** *Let $a \in A$. Then:* (i) $\operatorname{sgn}(a) \in CC(a)$. (ii) $\operatorname{sgn}(a)^2 = a^{\circ}$. (iii) $\operatorname{sgn}(a)a = a\operatorname{sgn}(a) = |a|$. (iv) $\operatorname{sgn}(a)|a| = |a|\operatorname{sgn} a = a$.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3 (i), $C(a) \subseteq C((a^+)^{\circ}) \cap C((a^-)^{\circ})$, from which (i) follows. Part (ii) follows from parts (vii) and (viii) of Theorem 3.3, and parts (iii) and (iv) are consequences of parts (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 3.3. □

The formula $a = \operatorname{sgn}(a)|a| = |a|\operatorname{sgn}(a)$ in Theorem 3.6 is called the *polar decomposition* of $a$.

**3.7 Corollary.** *Let $a, b \in A$. Then:* (i) $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow |a||b| = 0$. (ii) $|a|^{\circ} = a^{\circ}$.

*Proof.* We have $ab = 0 \Rightarrow |a||b| = \operatorname{sgn}(a)ab\operatorname{sgn}(b) = 0$, and conversely, $|a||b| = 0 \Rightarrow ab = \operatorname{sgn}(a)|a||b|\operatorname{sgn}(b) = 0$, proving (i). Arguing as above, we find that $|a|b = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab = 0$, whence $|a|^{\circ} = a^{\circ}$, proving (ii). □

# 4 Quadratic, Compression, and Sasaki Mappings

**4.1 Definition.** If $a \in A$, the mapping $J_a \colon A \to A$ defined by $J_a(b) := aba$ for all $b \in A$ is called the *quadratic mapping* determined by $a$. If $p \in P$, the quadratic mapping $J_p$ is called the *compression* on $A$ with *focus* $p$.

**4.2 Theorem.** *If $a \in A$, then the quadratic mapping $J_a \colon \to A$ is both linear and order preserving.*

*Proof.* Obviously, $J_a$ is linear. Suppose that $0 \leq h \in A$. By [SA4], $0 \leq |a|h|a|$, and we define $k := (|a|h|a|)^{1/2}$. Thus, $k^2|a|^{\circ} = |a|h|a||a|^{\circ} = |a|h|a| = k^2$, so by (ii) and parts (vii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.10,

$$k^{\circ} = (k^2)^{\circ} \leq |a|^{\circ} = a^{\circ}, \text{ whence } ka^{\circ} = k. \tag{1}$$

Let $w := \operatorname{sgn}(a)$. Then by parts (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 3.6, $w^2 = a^{\circ}$ and $a = w|a| = |a|w$; hence by (1)

$$0 \leq (wkw)^2 = wkw^2kw = wka^{\circ}kw = wk^2w = w|a|h|a|w = aha = J_a(h).$$

Suppose $b, c \in A$ with $b \leq c$, and put $h := c - b$. Then $0 \leq h$, therefore $0 \leq J_a(h) = J_a(c) - J_a(b)$, whence $J_a(b) \leq J_a(c)$, i.e., $J_a$ is order preserving. □

Condition [SA4] requires that $a, b \in A^+ \Rightarrow aba \in A^+$; however, by Theorem 4.2, we now have the stronger result $b \in A^+ \Rightarrow aba \in A^+$ for all $a \in A$.

**4.3 Lemma.** *Let $a, b \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then:* (i) $\|J_a(b)\| \leq \|a^2\|\|b\| = \|a\|^2\|b\|$. (ii) $J_a\colon A \to A$ *is norm continuous.* (iii) *If $p \neq 0$, then $\|p\| = 1$.* (iv) $\|J_p(a)\| \leq \|a\|$.

*Proof.* As $-\|b\| \leq b \leq \|b\|$, we have

$$-\|b\|a^2 = a(-\|b\|)a \leq aba \leq a\|b\|a = \|b\|a^2,$$

whence $\|aba\| \leq \|(\|b\|a^2)\| = \|a^2\|\|b\|$. By Lemma 1.6 (ii), $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$, proving (i), and (ii) follows from (i). Also by Lemma 1.6 (ii), $\|p\|^2 = \|p^2\| = \|p\|$, from which (iii) follows, and (iv) is a consequence of (i) and (iii). □

Let $p \in P$ and $e \in E$. By Theorem 4.2, $J_p$ is linear and order preserving. Clearly, $J_p(1) = p \in P \subseteq E$. By Theorem 2.4, $e \leq p \Rightarrow J_p(e) = e$. Also, if $J_p(e) = 0$, then $pep = 0$, whence $pe = ep = 0$, so $e \leq 1 - p$. Conversely, as a consequence of [4, Corollary 4.6], compressions on $A$ are characterized as in the following theorem.

**4.4 Theorem.** *Let $J\colon A \to A$ be a linear and order-preserving mapping such that $J(1) \leq 1$ and, for every $e \in E$, $e \leq J(1) \Rightarrow J(e) = e$. Then $p := J(1) \in P$ and $J = J_p$.*

**4.5 Lemma.** *Let $a \in A$ and $p \in P$. Then:* (i) $a \in C(p) \Leftrightarrow a = J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a)$. (ii) $C(p)$ *is norm closed in $A$.*

*Proof.* If $aCp$, it is clear that $a = pap + (1-p)a(1-p)$. Conversely, if $a = pap + (1-p)a(1-p)$ then $pa = pap = ap$, proving (i). Define the mapping $c_p\colon A \to A$ by $c_p(a) := J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a)$. By Lemma 4.3 (ii), $c_p$ is norm continuous, and by (i), $C(p)$ is its set of fixed points, proving (ii). □

**4.6 Theorem.** *Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $A$ and suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a \in A$. Then:*

(i) *If $a_n \leq b \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, than $a \leq b$.*

(ii) *If $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots$, then $a$ is the supremum (least upper bound) of $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $A$.*

(iii) *The positive cone $A^+$ is norm closed in $A$.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$N_m \leq n \Rightarrow a_n - a \leq \|a_n - a\| \leq 1/m \Rightarrow a_n \leq a + 1/m. \tag{1}$$

(i) Assume the hypothesis of (i). Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a - b \leq a - a_{N_m}$. Let $p := ((a-b)^+)^{\mathrm{o}} \in CC(a-b)$. Then, $(a-b)^+ = p(a-b) = p(a-b)p = J_p(a-b)$, so by Lemma 4.3 (iv) and (1), for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(a-b)^+ = J_p(a-b) \leq J_p(a-a_{N_m}) \leq \|J_p(a-a_{N_m})\| \leq \|a-a_{N_m}\| \leq 1/m,$$

whence $m(a-b)^+ \leq 1$, and since $A$ is archimedean, it follows that $(a-b)^+ \leq 0$. But $0 \leq (a-b)^+$, so $(a-b)^+ = 0$, and consequently, $a - b = -(a-b)^- \leq 0$, i.e., $a \leq b$.

(ii) By (1), for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{N_m} \leq a + 1/m;$$

hence $a_n \leq a + 1/m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $m(a_n - a) \leq 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $A$ is archimedean, it follows that $a_n - a \leq 0$, i.e., $a_n \leq a$. If $a_n \leq b \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (i), $a \leq b$; hence $a$ is the least upper bound of $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

(iii) Let $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $A^+$ and suppose that $c_n \to c$. Then $-c_n \to -c$, and as $-c_n \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (i) implies that $-c \leq 0$, i.e., $c \in A^+$. □

By combining the quadratic mapping $J_a$ with the carrier, we obtain the *Sasaki mapping* on $A$ as per the following definition.

**4.7 Definition.** For each $a \in A$, the *Sasaki mapping*[8] $\phi_a \colon A \to P$ is defined by $\phi_a(b) := (J_a(b))^{\mathrm{o}} = (aba)^{\mathrm{o}}$ for all $b \in B$.

**4.8 Theorem.** *Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then:* (i) $\phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(1) = a^{\mathrm{o}}$. (ii) $0 \leq b \leq c \Rightarrow \phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(c)$. (iii) *If $0 \leq b$, then $\phi_a(b)c = 0 \Rightarrow \phi_a(c)b = 0$.* (iv) *If $0 \leq b, c$, then $\phi_a(b)c = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_a(c)b = 0$.* (v) *If $0 \leq b$, then $\phi_a(b) = \phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}})$.*

[8]The terminology derives from the fact that, for $p \in P$, the restriction of $\phi_p$ to $P$ is a so-called *Sasaki projection* on $P$ [14, p. 99]. See Theorem 5.6 below.

*Proof.* (i) As $abaa^{\mathrm{o}} = aba$, Theorem 2.10 (iii) implies that $\phi_a(b) = (aba)^{\mathrm{o}} \leq a^{\mathrm{o}}$. Also, $\phi_a(1) = (a^2)^{\mathrm{o}} = a^{\mathrm{o}}$ by Theorem 2.10 (vii).

(ii) Assume that $0 \leq b \leq c$. Then $0 \leq J_a(b) \leq J_a(c)$, so $\phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(c)$ by Theorem 2.10 (viii).

(iii) Suppose that $0 \leq b$ and $\phi_a(b)c = 0$. Then $(aba)^{\mathrm{o}}c = 0$, whence $abac = 0$, and therefore $(aca)b(aca) = ac(abac)a = 0$, whereupon $acab = 0$ by [SA5], and it follows that $(aca)^{\mathrm{o}}b = 0$, i.e., $\phi_a(c)b = 0$.

(iv) Follows from (iii).

(v) Suppose that $0 \leq c$. We have $\phi_a(c)b = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_a(c)b^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$, and as $0 \leq b^{\mathrm{o}}$, it follows from (iv) that $\phi_a(c)b^{\mathrm{o}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}})c = 0$. Consequently, $\phi_a(b)c = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}})c = 0$. Putting $c = 1 - \phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}})$, we find that $\phi_a(b) = \phi_a(b)\phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}})$, hence $\phi_a(b) \leq \phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}})$. Similarly, putting $c = 1 - \phi_a(b)$, we obtain $\phi_a(b^{\mathrm{o}}) \leq \phi_a(b)$. □

**4.9 Theorem.** *Let $0 \neq v \in P$ and define $vAv := J_v(A) = \{vav : a \in A\} = \{b \in A : b = bv = vb\}$. Then $vAv$ is norm-closed in $A$ and, with the partial order inherited from $A$, $vAv$ is a synaptic algebra with unit $v$ and enveloping algebra $vRv$.*[9] *Moreover, the order-unit norm on $vAv$ is the restriction to $vAv$ of the order-unit norm on $A$, and for all $a, b \in vAv$, we have: $a \circ b$, $a^{\mathrm{o}}$, $|a|$, $a^+$, $a^-$, $\mathrm{sgn}(a) \in vAv$; $J_a(A) \subseteq vAv$; $\phi_a(A) \subseteq vAv$; and $0 \leq a \Rightarrow a^{1/2} \in vAv$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3 (ii), $J_v \colon A \to A$ is norm continuous, and since $vAv$ is the set of fixed points of $J_v$, it follows that $vAv$ is a norm-closed linear subspace of $A$. Let $b \in vAv$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \leq n = n1$; hence $b = J_v(b) \leq nJ_v(1) = nv$, so $v$ is an order unit in $vAv$. By a similar argument, if $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $-\lambda \leq b \leq \lambda \Rightarrow -\lambda v \leq b \leq \lambda v$; conversely, $-\lambda v \leq b \leq \lambda v \Rightarrow -\lambda \leq b \leq \lambda$ follows from the fact that $0 \leq v \leq 1$; hence $\|b\| = \inf\{0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : -\lambda v \leq b \leq \lambda v\}$. Thus, [SA1] holds for $vAv$.

That $vAv$ satisfies [SA2]–[SA5] is obvious. If $0 \leq b \in vAv$, then, since $b = bv = vb$ and $b^{1/2} \in CC(b)$, we have $0 \leq vb^{1/2}v = vb^{1/2} = b^{1/2}v$ with $(vb^{1/2})^2 = b$; hence $b^{1/2} = vb^{1/2}$ by the uniqueness of square roots (Theorem 2.2), and it follows that $b^{1/2} \in vAv$. Thus, $vAv$ satisfies [SA6]. If $b \in vAv$, we again have $b = bv = vb$, whence $b^{\mathrm{o}} \leq v$, and since $b^{\mathrm{o}} \in CC(b)$, it follows easily that $b^{\mathrm{o}} \in vAv$. Thus, $vAv$ satisfies [SA7].

[9] In dealing with the synaptic algebra $vAv$ in the presence of the synaptic algebra $A$, we cannot follow the convention (previously adopted for $A$) of identifying real numbers $\lambda$ with multiples $\lambda v$ of the unit element $v$.

To show that $vAv$ satisfies [SA8], suppose that $v \leq b \in vAv$. Then $1 = v + (1 - v) \leq b + 1 - v$ with $b = vb = bv$. By [SA8], there exists $c \in A$ such that $1 = c(b + 1 - v) = (b + 1 - v)c$. Applying $J_v$ to both sides of the latter equation, we find that $v = vcbv = vbcv = vcvb = bvcv$, and since $vcv \in vAv$, it follows that $vAv$ satisfies [SA8]. Obviously, $vAv$ inherits condition $[SA9]$ from $A$. We omit the completely straightforward proofs of the remaining assertions of the theorem. □

# 5 Orthomodularity of the Projection Lattice

**5.1 Definition.** The mapping $^\perp\colon P \to P$ is defined by $p^\perp := 1 - p$ for all $p \in P$. If $p, q \in P$, we say that $p$ is *orthogonal* to $q$, in symbols $p \perp q$, iff $p \leq q^\perp$.

We note that $p \perp q \Rightarrow q \perp p$ and that $p \perp p \Leftrightarrow p = 0$. In this section we are going to prove that, with $p \mapsto p^\perp := 1 - p$ as the *orthocomplementation*, $P$ is a *orthomodular lattice* as per the following definition [3, 14].

**5.2 Definition.** Let $X$ be a partially ordered set (poset). A mapping $x \mapsto x^\perp$ from $X$ to $X$ is called an *involution* iff it is order reversing ($x \leq y \Rightarrow y^\perp \leq x^\perp$) and of period 2 ($(x^\perp)^\perp = x$) for all $x, y \in X$. An *orthomodular poset* (OMP) is a partially ordered set $X$ with a smallest element 0, a largest element 1, and an involution $^\perp\colon X \to X$, called the *orthocomplementation*, such that, for all $x, y \in X$: (i) The infimum (greatest lower bound) $x \wedge x^\perp$ of $x$ and $x^\perp$ exists in $X$ and $x \wedge x^\perp = 0$. (ii) If $x \leq y^\perp$, then the supremum (least upper bound) $x \vee y$ exists in $X$. (iii) If $x \leq y$, then $y = x \vee (x^\perp \wedge y)$. An *orthomodular lattice* (OML) is an OMP $X$ that is a lattice (i.e., every pair $x, y \in X$ has an infimum $x \wedge y$ and a supremum $x \vee y$ in $X$.)

Let $X$ be a poset and let $a, b, x, y \in X$. If we write $a = x \wedge y$, or $x \wedge y = a$, we mean that the infimum (greatest lower bound) $x \wedge y$ of $x$ and $y$ exists in $X$ and that it equals $a$. A similar convention applies to an existing supremum (least upper bound) $b = x \vee y$ of $x$ and $y$ in $X$. An involution $x \mapsto x^\perp$ on $X$ gives rise to a *De Morgan duality* on $X$ whereby existing infima are converted to suprema and *vice versa*. For instance, if $a = x \wedge y$, then $a^\perp = x^\perp \vee y^\perp$. Also, if $X$ has a smallest element 0 and a largest element 1, then $0^\perp = 1$ and $1^\perp = 0$. Obviously, the mapping $p \mapsto p^\perp = 1 - p$ (respectively, $e \mapsto 1 - e$) is an involution on the poset $P$ (respectively, on the poset $E$), and $a \mapsto -a$ is an involution on $A$.

Suppose that $X$ is an OMP with $x \mapsto x^\perp$ as the orthocomplementation. Then by Definition 5.2 (i) and De Morgan duality, we have both $x \wedge x^\perp = 0$ and $x \vee x^\perp = 1$, i.e., $x^\perp$ is an *orthogonal complement*, or for short, an *orthocomplement* of $x$ in $X$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$. Then $x \leq (y^\perp)^\perp$, whence $x \vee y^\perp$ exists in $X$ by Definition 5.2 (ii), and therefore $x^\perp \wedge y = (x \vee y^\perp)^\perp$ exists in $X$ by De Morgan duality. Since $x \leq x \vee y^\perp = (x^\perp \wedge y)^\perp$, it also follows from Definition 5.2 (ii) that the supremum $x \vee (x^\perp \wedge y)$ exists in $X$. The condition $x \leq y \Rightarrow y = x \vee (x^\perp \wedge y)$ in Definition 5.2 (iii) is called the *orthomodular law*.

**5.3 Lemma.** *For all $p, q \in P$:* (i) $pCq \Rightarrow pq = p \wedge q$. (ii) $p \perp q \Leftrightarrow pq = 0$. (iii) $p \perp q \Rightarrow p \vee q = p + q$. (iv) $p \leq q \Rightarrow q - p = p^\perp \wedge q \in P$. (v) *With $p \mapsto p^\perp := 1 - p$ as the orthocomplementation, $P$ is an OMP.*

*Proof.* (i) Assume that $pq = qp$. Obviously, $(pq)^2 = pq$, so $pq \in P$. Also $p(pq) = pq$ and $q(pq) = pq$, so $pq \leq p, q$ by Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $r \in P$ and $r \leq p, q$. Again by Theorem 2.4, $rp = pr = r$ and $rq = qr = r$, whence $rpq = r$, i.e., $r \leq pq$. Therefore $pq = p \wedge q$.

(ii) By Theorem 2.4, $p \perp q \Leftrightarrow p \leq 1-q \Leftrightarrow p = p(1-q) = p-pq \Leftrightarrow pq = 0$.

(iii) Suppose that $p \perp q$ Then $pq = 0$ by (ii), so $qp = 0$ by Lemma 2.9 (iv), and it follows that $(p+q)^2 = p^2+q^2 = p+q$, i.e., $p+q \in P$. As $0 \leq p, q$, we have $p, q \leq p + q$. Suppose that $r \in P$ with $p, q \leq r$. Then, by Theorem 2.4, $p = pr$ and $q = qr$, whereupon $p+q = (p+q)r$, i.e., $p+q \leq r$. Therefore, $p + q = p \vee q$.

(iv) Suppose that $p \leq q = (q^\perp)^\perp$. Then by (iii), $p + q^\perp = p \vee q^\perp \in P$, whence $(p + q^\perp)^\perp = p^\perp \wedge q \in P$. But $(p + q^\perp)^\perp = 1 - (p + 1 - q) = q - p$.

(v) Obviously, 0 is the smallest element and 1 is the largest element in the poset $P$. In view of (ii), it remains only to show that the orthomodular law holds in $P$. But, if $p, q \in P$ with $p \leq q$, then by (iv), $q - p = p^\perp \wedge q$ and by (iii), $q = p + (q - p) = p + (p^\perp \wedge q) = p \vee (p^\perp \wedge q)$. □

**5.4 Theorem.** *Let $a \in A$. Then:* (i) *If $p, q \in P$, then $\phi_a(p) \perp q \Leftrightarrow p \perp \phi_a(q)$.* (ii) *$\phi_a$ preserves all existing suprema in $P$, i.e., if $Q \subseteq P$ and $r = \bigvee Q$, then $\phi_a(r) = \bigvee\{\phi_a(q) : q \in Q\}$.*

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from Theorem 4.8 (iv) and Lemma 5.3 (ii). To prove part (ii), suppose that $Q \subseteq P$ and $r = \bigvee Q$. Then, for all $q \in Q$, $0 \leq r \leq q$, whence $\phi_a(q) \leq \phi_a(r)$ by Theorem 4.8 (ii). Suppose that $t \in P$ and $\phi_a(q) \leq t$

for all $q \in Q$. Then, for all $q \in Q$, $\phi_a(q) \perp t^\perp$, whence, by (i), $q \perp \phi_a(t^\perp)$, i.e., $q \leq (\phi_a(t^\perp))^\perp$, and it follows that $r \leq (\phi_a(t^\perp))^\perp$. Consequently, by (i) again, $\phi_a(r) \perp t^\perp$, i.e., $\phi_a(r) \leq t$, and therefore $\phi_a(r) = \bigvee\{\phi_a(q) : q \in Q\}$. □

**5.5 Lemma.** *Let $p, q, r \in P$. Then:* (i) $\phi_p(r) \leq p$. (ii) $r \leq p \Leftrightarrow \phi_p(r) = r$. (iii) $r \perp p \Leftrightarrow \phi_p(r) = 0$. (iv) $p \wedge q$ *exists in* $P$ *and* $p \wedge q = p - \phi_p(q^\perp)$.

*Proof.* (i) By Theorems 4.8 (i) and 2.10 (ii), $\phi_p(r) \leq p^{\mathrm{o}} = p$.

(ii) If $r \leq p$, then $r = pr = rp$, so $\phi_p(r) = (prp)^{\mathrm{o}} = r^{\mathrm{o}} = r$. The converse implication follows from (i).

(iii) By Lemma 5.3 (ii), [SA5], and Theorem 2.10 (i), $p \perp r \Leftrightarrow pr = 0 \Leftrightarrow prp = 0 \Leftrightarrow (prp)^{\mathrm{o}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_p(r) = 0$.

(iv) Let $t := (\phi_p(q^\perp))^\perp = 1 - \phi_p(q^\perp) \in P$. By (i), $\phi_p(q^\perp) \leq p$, whence $pC\phi_p(q^\perp)$ and $p\phi_p(q^\perp) = \phi_p(q^\perp)$. Therefore, by parts (i) and (iv) of Lemma 5.3,

$$p \wedge t = pt = tp = p - \phi_p(q^\perp) = p \wedge (\phi_p(q^\perp))^\perp \in P. \tag{1}$$

By (1), $p \wedge t \perp \phi_p(q^\perp)$, whence by Theorem 5.4 (i), $\phi_p(p \wedge t) \perp q^\perp$, i.e. $\phi_p(p \wedge t) \leq q$. But, $p \wedge t \leq p$, whence by (ii), $\phi_p(p \wedge t) = p \wedge t$, and we have $p \wedge t \leq q$. Thus $p \wedge t \leq p, q$. Suppose $r \in P$ and $r \leq p, q$. By (ii), $\phi_p(r) = r \leq q$, so $\phi_p(r) \perp q^\perp$, and therefore $r \perp \phi_p(q^\perp)$ by Theorem 5.4 (i); hence, $r \leq (\phi_p(q^\perp))^\perp = t$. But $r \leq p$; hence $r \leq p \wedge t$ by (1), and it follows that $p \wedge t = p \wedge q$. □

**5.6 Theorem.** *$P$ is an OML and, for all $p, q \in P$, $\phi_p(q) = p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q)$.*

*Proof.* Let $p, q \in P$. Then by Lemma 5.5 (iv), $p \wedge q$ exists in $P$, so by De Morgan duality, $p \vee q = (p^\perp \wedge q^\perp)^\perp$ also exists in $P$. Therefore, $P$ is an OML. Also, as $p^\perp \leq p^\perp \vee q$, we have $p^\perp \vee q = p^\perp \vee (p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q))$ by the orthomodular law; hence, by Theorem 5.4 (ii) and parts (iii) and (ii) of Lemma 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_p(q) &= \phi_p(p^\perp) \vee \phi_p(q) = \phi_p(p^\perp \vee q) = \phi_p(p^\perp \vee (p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q))) \\ &= \phi_p(p^\perp) \vee \phi_p(p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q)) = p \wedge (p^\perp \vee q).\end{aligned}$$ □

Two elements $p$ and $q$ of an orthomodular lattice are said to be *compatible* (or to *commute*) iff $p = (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q^\perp)$ [14, p. 20]. By a standard argument (e.g., [7, Theorem 3.11]), if $p, q \in P$, then $p$ and $q$ are compatible in the foregoing sense iff $pCq$.

# 6 Synaptic Versus GH-Algebras

Every generalized Hermitian (GH) algebra $G$ [9, Definition 2.1] is a synaptic algebra. Indeed, [SA1] follows from [9, Theorem 4.2] and parts (ii), (iii), and (iv) of [9, Definition 2.1] imply [SA2]–[SA5]. Also, [SA6] follows from [9, Theorem 4.5], [9, Theorem 5.2] implies [SA7], and [SA8] is a consequence of [10, Lemma 4.1]. Finally, by [9, Lemma 6.6 (iii)], $G$ satisfies [SA9]; hence $G$ is a synaptic algebra.

By [9, Definition 2.1 (vii)], a generalized Hermitian algebra $G$ has the following *commutative Vigier*[10] *property*:

**[CV]** *Every bounded ascending sequence $g_1 \leq g_2 \leq \cdots$ of pairwise commuting elements in $G$ has a supremum $g$ in $G$ and $g \in CC(\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$.*

Clearly, a synaptic algebra $A$ is a GH-algebra iff it satisfies [CV]. The condition [CV] is quite strong[11] (see [9, Section 4]), and the main impetus for the formulation in Definition 1.1 is to replace [CV] by some of its algebraic consequences [SA6], [SA7], and [SA8], accompanied by the considerably weaker condition [SA9].

As an indication of the extent to which synaptic algebras generalize GH-algebras, we may consider the commutative case. The projections in a commutative GH-algebra form a $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra; moreover, every $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra can be realized as the (Boolean) lattice of projections in a commutative GH-algebra [10, Theorem 5.7]. On the other hand, the projections in a commutative synaptic algebra form a Boolean algebra, which need not be $\sigma$-complete; moreover, *every Boolean algebra $B$ can be realized as the (Boolean) lattice of projections in a commutative synaptic algebra.* Indeed, by Stone's theorem, $B$ can be represented as the field $\mathcal{F}$ of compact open subsets of a totally disconnected Hausdorff space $X$, and the projection lattice of the commutative synaptic algebra $A$ in Example 1.2 is isomorphic to $B$.

# 7 Invertible and Regular Elements

As we now show, the results in [10, Section 4] pertaining to invertible and von Neumann regular elements of a GH-algebra $G$ go through for our synaptic

[10] See [6, Section 5] for the origin of the terminology

[11] For instance, as a consequence of [CV], the orthomodular lattice of projections in a GH-algebra is necessarily $\sigma$-complete [9, Theorem 5.4].

algebra $A$, although we must be a little careful since the proof of [10, Lemma 4.1] depends on the property [CV]. As usual, an element $a \in A$ is *invertible* iff there exists a (necessarily unique) element $a^{-1} \in A$ such that $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1$. If $a$ is invertible, it is clear that $a^{-1} \in CC(a)$ and that $a^{\circ} = 1$.

**7.1 Lemma.** *Let $a \in A$. Then:* (i) *If $0 \leq a$ and $a$ is invertible, then $0 \leq a^{-1}$.* (ii) *$a$ is invertible iff $|a|$ is invertible, and if $a$ is invertible, then $|a|^{-1} = |a^{-1}|$.*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose $0 \leq a$ and $a$ is invertible. As $aC(a^{-1})^2$ and $0 \leq (a^{-1})^2$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq a(a^{-1})^2 = a^{-1}$.

(ii) Let $s := \mathrm{sgn}(a)$. By Theorem 3.6, $s \in CC(a)$, $s^2 = a^{\circ}$, $sa = as = |a|$, and $s|a| = |a|s = a$. Suppose $a$ is invertible. As $s \in CC(a)$, we have $sCa^{-1}$ and $|a|(sa^{-1}) = (sa^{-1})|a| = 1$; hence $|a|$ is invertible and $|a|^{-1} = sa^{-1}$. Also, $s^2 = a^{\circ} = 1$, and by (i), $0 \leq sa^{-1}$. But, $(sa^{-1})^2 = s^2(a^{-1})^2 = (a^{-1})^2$, whence $|a|^{-1} = sa^{-1} = |sa^{-1}| = |a^{-1}|$. Conversely, if $|a|$ is invertible, it is clear that $a$ is invertible with $a^{-1} = s|a|^{-1}$. □

**7.2 Theorem.** *If $a \in A$, then $a$ is invertible iff there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\epsilon \leq |a|$.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that $a$ is invertible. Then, by Lemma 7.1, $|a|$ is invertible. As 1 is an order unit, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a|^{-1} \leq n$, and since $|a|$ commutes with $n - |a|^{-1}$, [SA2] implies that $0 \leq (n - |a|^{-1})|a|$, i.e., $1 \leq n|a|$. Consequently, with $0 < \epsilon := 1/n$, we have $\epsilon \leq |a|$.

Conversely, suppose $0 < \epsilon \leq |a|$. Then $1 \leq \epsilon^{-1}|a|$; hence by [SA8], $\epsilon^{-1}|a|$ is invertible, and it follows that $|a|$ is invertible with $|a|^{-1} = \epsilon^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1}|a|)^{-1}$. Thus $a$ is invertible by Lemma 7.1. □

**7.3 Definition.** Let $a \in A$. (i) $a$ is *von Neumann regular* iff there exists $b \in A$ such that $ab, ba \in A$ and $aba = a$. (ii) $a$ is *regular* iff there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\epsilon a^{\circ} \leq |a|$.

Obviously, 0 is both von Neumann regular and regular. The proof of the following theorem is virtually identical[12] to the proof of [10, Theorem 4.5].

**7.4 Theorem.** *If $0 \neq a \in A$, then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:* (i) *$a$ is von Neumann regular.* (ii) *There exists $r \in a^{\circ}Aa^{\circ}$ such that $ar = ra = a^{\circ}$.* (iii) *$a$ is invertible in the synaptic algebra $a^{\circ}Aa^{\circ}$.* (iv) *$a$ is regular.*

[12]Note that $a^{\circ}Aa^{\circ}$ is a synaptic algebra by Theorem 4.9.

**7.5 Corollary.** *If $a \in A$, then $a$ is invertible iff $a$ is regular and $a^{\circ} = 1$.*

If $0 \neq a \in A$ and $a$ is regular, then the (necessarily unique) inverse of $a$ in $a^{\circ}Aa^{\circ}$ (Theorem 7.4) is called the *pseudo-inverse* of $a$ in $A$, and by definition, the pseudo-inverse of 0 is 0. If $a$ is regular, it is not difficult to show that the pseudo-inverse of $a$ belongs to $CC(a)$.

**7.6 Theorem.** *If $a \in A$, then $a$ is regular iff both $a^+$ and $a^-$ are regular.*

*Proof.* Let $p := (a^+)^{\circ}$ and $q := (a^-)^{\circ}$. Then by Theorem 3.3, $p, q \in CC(a)$, $p+q = a^{\circ}$, $pq = pa^- = 0$, $qp = qa^+ = 0$, $pa = pa^+ = a^+$, and $qa = qa^- = a^-$.

Suppose that $a$ is regular. Then there exists $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\epsilon(p+q) = \epsilon a^{\circ} \leq |a| = a^+ + a^-$, so $\epsilon p = p(\epsilon(p+q)) \leq p(a^+ + a^-) = a^+ = |a^+|$, whence $a^+$ is regular. Likewise, $\epsilon q \leq a^-$, so $a^-$ is regular. Conversely, if both $a^+$ and $a^-$ are regular, there exist $0 < \alpha, \beta$ such that $\alpha p \leq a^+$ and $\beta q \leq a^-$; hence with $\epsilon := \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, we have $\epsilon a^{\circ} = \epsilon(p+q) \leq a^+ + a^- = |a|$, and it follows that $a$ is regular. □

**7.7 Corollary.** *$a \in A$ is invertible iff $a^{\circ} = 1$ and both $a^+$ and $a^-$ are regular.*

# 8 Spectral Resolution

In this section, we show that the synaptic algebra $A$ is a so-called *spectral order-unit normed space*; hence the results of [8] are at our disposal. In particular, every element in $A$ both determines and is determined by a family of projections—its *spectral resolution*.

As per [8, Definition 1.5 (i)], an element $a \in A$ is *compatible* with a projection $p \in P$ iff $a = J_p(a) + J_{1-p}(a)$. Thus, by Lemma 4.5 (i), $C(p)$ is the set of all elements of $A$ that are compatible with $p$; hence, the notation used in [8, Definition 1.5 (i) and ff.] is consistent with our notation in this article.

**8.1 Theorem.** *The family $(J_p)_{p \in P}$ is a spectral compression base* [8, Definition 1.7] *for the order-unit space $A$.*

*Proof.* To begin with, we have to show that $P$ is a normal sub-effect algebra of $E$ ([5, Definition 1]). Of course, $0, 1 \in P$, and $p \in P \Rightarrow 1 - p = p^{\perp} \in P$. Also, if $p, q \in P$ with $p + q \leq 1$, then $p + q = p \vee q \in P$ by Lemma 5.3 (iii). Therefore $P$ is a sub-effect algebra of $E$. Suppose that $d, e, f, d+e+f \in E$

with $p := d+e \in P$ and $q := d+f \in P$. Then $e+q = d+e+f \leq 1$, so $e \leq 1-q$, and therefore by Theorem 2.4, $e = e(1-q)$, i.e., $eq = 0$. Also, $d \leq d+f = q$, so $dq = d$ by Theorem 2.4, and it follows that $pq = (d+e)q = dq = d$. By symmetry, $qp = d$; hence by Lemma 5.3 (i), $d = p \wedge q \in P$, and it follows that $P$ is a normal sub-effect algebra of $E$.

Now let $p, q, r \in P$ with $p+q+r \leq 1$. Then $pq = pr = qr = 0$, $p+r = p \vee r \in P$ and $q+r = q \vee r \in P$, whence, for all $a \in A$,

$$J_{p+r}(J_{q+r}(a)) = (p+r)(q+r)a(q+r)(p+r) = rar = J_r(a),$$

and it follows that $(J_p)_{p\in P}$ is a compression base for $A$ ([5, Definition 2]).

If $e \in E$, then by Theorem 2.10 (vii), $e^{\mathrm{o}}$ is the smallest projection $p$ such that $e \leq p$; hence the compression base $(J_p)_{p\in P}$ has the projection cover property ([8, Definition 1.4]).

Let $a \in A$ and let $p := (a^+)^{\mathrm{o}}$. Then by parts (i), (iii), and (vi) of Theorem 3.3, we have $C(a) \subseteq C(p)$, $J_p(a) = pap = pa = a^+ \geq 0$, and $J_{1-p}(a) = (1-p)a(1-p) = (1-p)a = a - pa = a - a^+ = -a^- \leq 0$. Thus, the compression base $(J_p)_{p\in P}$ has the comparability property ([8, Definition 1.6]), and therefore $(J_p)_{p\in P}$ is a spectral compression base for $A$. □

If $a \in A$, it is clear that, for all $p \in P$,

$$p \leq 1 - a^{\mathrm{o}} \Leftrightarrow a^{\mathrm{o}}p = 0 \Leftrightarrow ap = pa = 0 \Leftrightarrow a \in C(p) \text{ with } J_p(a) = pap = 0.$$

Therefore, as per [8, Theorem 2.1 and ff.], the mapping $'\colon A \to P$ defined by $a' := 1 - a^{\mathrm{o}}$ for all $a \in A$ is effective as the *Rickart mapping* on $A$. We note that, for $p \in P$, we have $p' = 1 - p = p^{\perp}$.

Let $a, b \in A$. In [8] the notation $b \in CPC(a)$ means that, for all $p \in P$, $a \in C(p) \Rightarrow b \in C(p)$. Thus, $b \in CPC(a) \Leftrightarrow C(a) \cap P \subseteq C(b)$; hence, $CC(a) \subseteq CPC(a)$. For instance, by [8, Lemmas 2.1 (vi) and 2.4 (iv)], $a^{\mathrm{o}}, |a|, a^+ \in CPC(a)$, but for our synaptic algebra $A$, we have the (possibly) stronger conditions $a^{\mathrm{o}}$, $|a|$, $a^+ \in CC(a)$.

In view of the remarks above, we can translate the results in [8] into our present formalism by replacing $a'$ by $1 - a^{\mathrm{o}}$, $a''$ by $a^{\mathrm{o}}$, and $p'$ by $p^{\perp} = 1 - p$ for all $a \in A$ and all $p \in P$. Moreover, if $aCp$, we can replace $J_p(a)$ by $pa$ (or by $ap$).

**8.2 Definition.** Let $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

(i) The *spectral lower and upper bounds* $L$ and $U$ for $a$ are defined by $L := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \leq a\}$ and $U := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq \lambda\}$.

(ii) The family of projections $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $p_\lambda := 1 - ((a-\lambda)^+)^{\circ}$ is called the *spectral resolution* of $a$.

(iii) The family of projections $(d_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $d_\lambda := 1-(a-\lambda)^{\circ}$ is called the family of *eigenprojections* of $a$. If $d_\lambda \neq 0$, then $\lambda$ is called an *eigenvalue* of $a$.

**8.3 Standing Assumptions.** *In what follows: $a \in A$; $L$ and $U$ are the spectral bounds for $a$; $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the spectral resolution of $a$; and $(d_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the family of eigenprojections for $a$.*

By [8, Theorem 3.1], $-\infty < L \leq U < \infty$, $\|a\| = \max\{|L|, |U|\}$, and $L \leq a \leq U$. The following theorem is a consequence of [8, Theorems 3.3, 3.5, and 3.6].

**8.4 Theorem.** *For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$:*

(i) $p_\lambda$, $d_\lambda \in CC(a)$; *hence* $p_\lambda C p_\mu$, $p_\lambda C d_\mu$, *and* $d_\lambda C d_\mu$.

(ii) $p_\lambda(a-\lambda) \leq 0 \leq (1-p_\lambda)(a-\lambda)$.

(iii) $\lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow p_\lambda \leq p_\mu$ *and* $p_\mu - p_\lambda = p_\mu \wedge (1-p_\lambda)$.

(iv) $\lambda < \mu \Rightarrow d_\lambda \leq p_\lambda \leq 1 - d_\mu \Rightarrow d_\lambda \perp d_\mu$.

(v) $\mu \geq U \Leftrightarrow p_\mu = 1$.

(vi) $\lambda < L \Rightarrow p_\lambda = 0$, *and* $L < \lambda \Rightarrow 0 < p_\lambda$.

(vii) *If* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, *then* $p_\alpha = \bigwedge\{p_\mu : \alpha < \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

(viii) *If* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, *then* $p_\alpha - d_\alpha = \bigvee\{p_\lambda : \alpha > \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

By [8, Theorem 3.4, Remark 3.1, and Corollary 3.1], we have the following theorem and corollary.

**8.5 Theorem.** *Suppose that $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_0 < L < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n = U$, and let $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_{i-1} \leq \gamma_i \leq \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Define $u_i := p_{\lambda_i} - p_{\lambda_{i-1}}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, and let $\epsilon := \max\{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1} : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$. Then:*

$$u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in P \cap CC(a), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i = 1, \text{ and } \left\| a - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i u_i \right\| \leq \epsilon.$$

According to Theorem 8.5, $a$ can be written as a norm-convergent integral $a = \int_{L-0}^{U} \lambda \, dp_\lambda$ of Riemann-Stieltjes type; hence $a$ not only determines, but it is determined by its spectral resolution.

**8.6 Corollary.** *There exists an ascending sequence $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots$ in $CC(a)$ such that each $a_n$ is a finite linear combination of projections in the family $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$.*

**8.7 Definition.** A real number $\rho$ belongs to the *resolvent set* of $a$ iff there is an open interval $I$ in $\mathbb{R}$ with $\rho \in I$ such that $p_\lambda = p_\rho$ for all $\lambda \in I$. The *spectrum* of $a$, in symbols $\mathrm{spec}(a)$, is defined to be the complement in $\mathbb{R}$ of the resolvent set of $a$.

As is proved in [8], $\mathrm{spec}(a)$ has all of the expected basic properties. For instance, by [8, Theorem 4.3], $\mathrm{spec}(a)$ is a closed nonempty subset of the closed interval $[L, U] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $L = \inf(\mathrm{spec}(a)) \in \mathrm{spec}(a)$, $U = \sup(\mathrm{spec}(a)) \in \mathrm{spec}(a)$, and $\|a\| = \sup\{|\alpha| : \alpha \in \mathrm{spec}(a)\}$. By [8, Theorem 4.4], $a \in A^+ \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{spec}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, and by [8, Corollary 5.1], $a \in P \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{spec}(a) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. As a consequence of [8, Theorem 4.2], every isolated point of $\mathrm{spec}(a)$ is an eigenvalue of $a$, and every eigenvalue of $a$ belongs to $\mathrm{spec}(a)$.

**8.8 Definition.** An element in $A$ is *simple* iff it is a finite linear combination of pairwise commuting projections.

The following result is a consequence of [8, Theorems 5.2 and 5.3].

**8.9 Theorem.** *The simple elements of $A$ are precisely those with finite spectrum. Let $a$ be a simple element of $A$. Then $a$ can be written uniquely as $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i u_i$, where $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n$, $0 \neq u_i \in P$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i = 1$. Moreover, $a$ is regular, $|a| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i| u_i$, $\|a\| = \max\{|\alpha_i| : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$, $a^o = \sum_{\alpha_i \neq 0} u_i$, and $u_i = d_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.*

As a consequence of Corollary 8.6, each element $a \in A$ is the norm limit (hence by Theorem 4.6 (ii) also the supremum) of an ascending sequence of pairwise commuting simple elements, and it follows that the simple elements in $A$ (hence by Theorem 8.9, also the regular elements in $A$) are norm-dense in $A$.

**8.10 Theorem.** *If $b \in A$, then $bCa$ iff $bCp_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

*Proof.* For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $p_\lambda \in CC(a)$; hence $bCa$ implies that $bCp_\lambda$. Conversely, suppose that $bCp_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the ascending sequence in Corollary 8.6. As $a_n \in CC(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of the sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ commute with each other. As each $a_n$ is a finite linear combination of projections $p_\lambda$, we have $a_n \in C(b)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and it follows from [SA9] that $a \in C(b)$. □

**8.11 Theorem.** *$C(a)$ is norm-closed in $A$ and, with the partial order inherited from $A$, $C(a)$ is a synaptic algebra with unit 1 and enveloping algebra $R$. Let $b, c \in C(a)$. Then: $b \circ c$, $b^{\mathrm{o}}$, $|b|$, $b^+$, $b^-$, $J_b(c)$, $\phi_b(c) \in C(a)$; $0 \leq b \Rightarrow b^{1/2} \in C(A)$; and the spectral resolution and family of eigenprojections of $b$ are the same whether calculated in $A$ or in $C(a)$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in C(a) and $b_n \to b \in A$. Then by Theorem 8.10, $b_n \in C(p_\lambda)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and it follows from Lemma 4.5 (ii) that $b \in C(p_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $b \in C(a)$ by Theorem 8.10, whence $C(a)$ is norm-closed in $A$. The remainder of the proof is omitted as it is completely straightforward □

# References


[1] Alfsen, E.M., *Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971, ISBN 0-387-05090-6.

[2] Alfsen, E.M., Shultz, F.W., and Størmer, E., A Gelfand-Neumark theorem for Jordan algebras, *Adv. Math.* **28** (1978) 11–56.

[3] Beran, L., *Orthomodular Lattices, An Algebraic Approach*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 18, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1985.

[4] Foulis, D.J., Compressions on partially ordered abelian groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132**(2004) 3581–3587.

[5] Foulis, D.J., Compression Bases in Unital Groups, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **44**, No 12 (2005) 2191–2198.

[6] Foulis, D.J., Square roots and inverses in e-rings, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **58**, No. 2 (2006) 325–341.

[7] Foulis, d.J. and Pulmannová, S., Monotone sigma-complete RC-groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **73**, No. 2 (2006) 304–324.

[8] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, S., Spectral resolution in an order unit space, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **62** (2008) 323–344.

[9] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, S., Generalized Hermitian Algebras, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **48**, No. 5 (2009) 1320–1333.

[10] Foulis, D.J. and Pulmannová, S., Regular elements in generalized Hermitian Algebras, to appear in *Math. Slovaca*.

[11] Goodearl, K.R., *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation*, A.M.S. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, No 20, AMS, Providence, RI, 1986.

[12] Gudder, S., Pulmannová, S., Bugajski, S., and Beltrametti, E., Convex and linear effect algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **44**, No. 3 (1999) 359–379.

[13] Handelman, D., Higgs, D., and Lawrence, J., Directed abelian groups, countably continuous rings, and Rickart C*-algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **21** (1980) 193–202.

[14] Kalmbach, G., *Orthomodular Lattices*, Academic Press, London, New York, 1983.

[15] Kaplansky, I., Projections in Banach algebras, *Annals of Mathematics* **53** (2), (1951) 235–249.

[16] McCrimmon, K. *A taste of Jordan algebras*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004, ISBN: 0-387-95447-3.

[17] Sarymsakov, T. A., Ayupov, Sh. A., Khadzhiev, Dzh., and Chilin, V. I. *Uporyadochennye algebry* (Russian) [Ordered algebras] "Fan", Tashkent, 1983.

[18] Topping, D.M., *Jordan Algebras of Self-Adjoint Operators*, A.M.S. Memoir **No 53** AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1965.